

Palladium-Catalyzed Hydrohalogenation of 1,6-Enynes: Hydrogen Halide Salts and Alkyl Halides as Convenient HX Surrogates

David A. Petrone,^{§,†} Ivan Franzoni,^{§,†} Juntao Ye,[†] José F. Rodríguez,[†] Amalia I. Poblador-Bahamonde,^{*,‡} and Mark Lautens^{*,†}

[†]Davenport Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada [‡]Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, 30 Quai Ernest Ansermet, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Difficulties associated with handling H_2 and CO in metal-catalyzed processes have led to the development of chemical surrogates to these species. Despite many successful examples using this strategy, the application of convenient hydrogen halide (HX) surrogates in catalysis has lagged behind considerably. We now report the use of ammonium halides as HX surrogates to accomplish a Pd-catalyzed hydrohalogenation of enynes. These safe and practical salts avoid many drawbacks associated with traditional HX sources including toxicity and corrosiveness. Experimental and computational studies support a reaction mechanism involving a crucial *E*-to-*Z* vinyl–Pd isomerization and a carbon–halogen bond-forming reductive elimination. Furthermore, rare exam-



ples of $C(sp^3)$ -Br and -Cl reductive elimination from Pd(II) as well as transfer hydroiodination using 1-iodobutane as an alternate HI surrogate are also presented.

INTRODUCTION

The carbon-halogen bond is an essential functional group in organic chemistry, and its high utility is made apparent by the multitude of available methods used for their installation into organic molecules.¹ In 2010, our group reported a Pd-catalyzed synthesis of 2-bromoindoles² rooted in Hartwig's seminal work on reversible reductive elimination of aryl halides from Pd(II) complexes.^{3,4} These studies prompted our discovery of a catalytic alkene aryliodination involving a novel $C(sp^3)-I$ bond-forming reductive elimination from an alkyl-Pd(II)-I species (Figure 1a).⁵ In a related study, Qiu and Tong showed that Pd(0) could catalyze the cycloisomerization of vinyl iodides leading to various heterocyclic scaffolds with high efficiencies (Figure 1b).⁶ Several other contributions invoking this mode of reactivity have since been made, yet all have employed substrates containing preinstalled carbon-halogen bonds (i.e., aryl and vinyl halides).⁷ This functional group facilitates substrate activation to occur via oxidative addition of Pd(0), and it is ultimately recycled into the product.⁸ Despite the complete atom economy achieved by these methodologies, the prerequisite for halogenated substrates often limits their synthetic utility. Therefore, the use of external halogen sources as a way to develop new cyclohalogenation reactions of substrates devoid of a preinstalled carbon-halogen bond would be a significant advance.¹⁰

A 2012 report from our group regarding the synthesis of indenes via a conjunctive alkene–alkyne coupling (Figure 1c) led us to consider catalytic cyclohalogenation reactions of

nonhalogenated enynes.¹¹ Due to their facile and modular preparation, enynes represent an ideal framework on which to explore new catalytic reactivity.¹² The 1,6-enyne motif has also served well as a template for developing numerous cyclizative hydrofunctionalization methods including hydroboration,¹ hydrosilylation,¹⁴ hydrostannylation,¹⁵ and hydrofluorination,¹⁶ among others.¹⁷ Therefore, we hypothesized that a hydrohalogenation of 1,6-envnes could be accomplished by using a discrete H-Pd(II)-X species (where X is a halogen) as a catalytic intermediate (Figure 1d).¹⁸ A regioselective hydropalladation would act to engage this class of substrate resulting in the formation of a key vinyl-Pd(II)-X intermediate (i.e., A).¹⁹ A subsequent intramolecular alkene insertion and a terminating $C(sp^3)$ -halogen bond-forming reductive elimination (via B) could be realized if the palladium species were to be modified by an appropriate phosphine ligand (i.e., P^tBu_3 or QPhos).^{2–}

While alkene and alkyne hydrohalogenation is a classic transformation that has been used for over a century, reports of metal-catalyzed hydrohalogenations have been scarce.^{1p,20} This paucity of methods can be partly attributed to the limited number of practical hydrogen halide (HX) sources available. Diatomic feedstocks like HX are routinely used industrially to upgrade the value and function of commodity chemicals.²¹ Although large quantities of these building blocks are

Received: January 16, 2017 Published: February 14, 2017 Scheme 1. Previous Work on Pd-Catalyzed Aryl and Vinyl Iodination of Alkenes and Alkynes and the Design of the Enyne Hydrohalogenation Reaction Involving Simple HX Surrogates

Prior Work:

a) Lautens (2011): Intramolecular aryliodination of unactivated alkenes [ref 5]



b) Qiu and Tong (2011): Cycloisomerization of (Z)-1-iodo-1,6-dienes [ref 6]



c) Lautens (2012): Intermolecular alkene-alkyne coupling via aryliodination [ref 7b]





consumed each year, the laboratory use of these volatile and often toxic compounds can be thwarted by the challenges associated with their safe handling. As a result, methods exist which obviate this drawback by allowing for in situ HX generation via the combination of reagents,²² and have been used together with transition metals to affect catalytic hydrohalogenation in rare instances.²³ Unfortunately, these methods commonly employ reagents which narrow functional group tolerance and involve strongly acidic reaction media. Conversely, catalytic methods that employ a single and welldefined reagent which acts as a surrogate to a hazardous chemical have become increasingly popular.²⁴ Therein, a metal catalyst reacts with the chosen surrogate in order to simultaneously release and activate the problematic small molecule of interest. Transfer hydrogenations using alcohols as practical precursors to H₂ (e.g., ⁱPrOH) illustrate this concept.²⁵ Numerous metal-catalyzed transformations have also been reported which conveniently generate CO in situ.^{26,27} Other recent examples relying on this concept²⁴ include a Rhcatalyzed transfer hydroformylation by Dong and co-workers,²⁸ and a reversible Ni-catalyzed transfer hydrocyanation by Morandi and co-workers.²⁹ These technologies use aliphatic aldehydes and nitriles as surrogates to syngas and HCN,

respectively. Despite these enabling achievements, the use of convenient HX surrogates in transition-metal catalysis remains unexplored. Accordingly, it would be of interest to develop a robust hydrohalogenation protocol whereby a H-Pd(II)-X species is generated catalytically *in situ* by employing a mild, simple, and convenient HX surrogate.³⁰

In the turnover-enabling step of the Heck reaction, an exogenous base (e.g., Et_3N) is used to convert H–Pd(II)–X back into the active Pd(0) catalyst while concomitantly forming an equivalent of base-HX waste.³¹ Considering this sequence in reverse, we envisioned that base-HX species could be used as practical HX surrogates to controllably generate the desired H–Pd(II)–X species *in situ.*³² This would then promote the desired enyne hydrohalogenation via the transfer of both the hydride and halide ligands to the substrate.

Herein, we examine the ability of Et₃N·HI to act as a safe and practical replacement for HI in the development of a conceptually and mechanistically novel hydroiodination of 1,6-enynes. Through a combination of experiment and theory, we have demonstrated the feasibility of a formal anti alkyne hydropalladation which occurs via a counterintuitive two-step cis alkyne hydropalladation/vinyl-Pd(II) E-to-Z isomerization. Our theoretical investigation has also led to the development of the analogous hydrobromination and hydrochlorination reactions that represent rare examples of $C(sp^3)$ -Br and $C(sp^3)$ -Cl reductive elimination from Pd(II).³³ As such, the iodinated, brominated, and chlorinated products can be obtained by simply changing the HX surrogate. Furthermore, this report also outlines our preliminary findings regarding the use of 1iodobutane as a nonionic HX surrogate in a transfer hydroiodination involving a dehydrohalogenation/hydrohalogenation sequence (Scheme 1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The precedence for carbon-iodine bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd(II) promoted us to initially target the hydroiodination reaction of enynes 1 using Et₃N·HI as an HI surrogate (Figure 1). $^{2-6,7a-g}$ During our preliminary experiments, the expected 5-membered products of hydroiodination C were not observed, and instead, 6-membered heterocycles 2 were found to be the sole hydroiodination products which were accompanied by 1,6-envne cycloisomerization products 3^{11} and the corresponding isomers 4. Compounds 2 and 3 are thought to arise from hydropalladations that proceed with opposite regioselectivities (vide infra). Pyridinone 2 originates from the hydropalladation resulting in the transfer of the hydride moiety to the α -carbon, whereas 3 (and ultimately 4) arises from a hydropalladation resulting in the transfer of the hydride moiety to the β -carbon. After screening a wide array of parameters, the combination of Pd(P^tBu₃)₂ (10 mol %) and Et₃N·HI (1.2 equiv) in PhMe (0.05 M) at 120 °C for 2 h was found to be optimal. Under these conditions, 1a could be converted to 2a in 47% yield (Figure 1). Changing reaction conditions was found to markedly affected the overall reaction efficiency, yet had little or no impact on the key ratio of 2:(3 + 4). The Nprotecting group was the variable that allowed for the greatest perturbation of this key ratio that directly relates to the yield of desired product. We postulate that the N-protecting group plays a key role in dictating the regioselectivity of hydropalladation, which manifests itself in the observed changes to the 2:(3 + 4) ratio.

Unprotected **1b** led to product **2b** being afforded in 16% yield, while protecting the nitrogen with *N-para*-trifluorome-

Article



Figure 1. Pd-catalyzed hydroiodination of 1,6-enynes using Et_3N ·HI as an HI surrogate: Effect of the N-protecting group. Notes: Reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale and yields determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture; values in parentheses represent the isolated yield of 2, and the ratios in square brackets represent yields in the form of [2:(3 + 4)]. Superscript a indicates that subjection of 1k to the reaction conditions led to formation of 1b in 64% yield. ND = not determined.

Table 1.	Pd	-Catalyzed	Hydroiodination	of	1,6-Enynes:	Effect	of	Reaction	Parameters ^a
			,						

	$\begin{array}{c} Ph \\ O \\ O \\ O'Bu \\ 1 a \end{array} \xrightarrow{Pd(P^{f}Bu_{3})_{2} (10 \text{ mol}\%)}{PhMe (0.05 \text{ M})} \\ \end{array}$	$H \rightarrow H = H + O \rightarrow H = O + O'Bu = O'BU$	
ontry	variation from the "standard" conditions	$y_{ield}^{b,c}$ 2h (%)	viald ^b $3l_2 + 4l_2$ (%)
l	variation nom the standard conditions		
1		/3 (/2)	18
2	no $Pd(PBu_3)_2$	0	0
3	1,4-dioxane instead of PhMe	75	21
4	110 °C instead of 120 °C	61	15
5	100 °C instead of 120 °C	56	13
6	0.1 M instead of 0.05 M	72	18
7	$Pd(QPhos)_2$ instead of $Pd(P^tBu_3)_2$	30	15
8 ^d	$P(1-Ad)_3/Pd_2(dba)_3$ instead of $Pd(P^tBu_3)_2$	56	17
9^d	DTBNpP/Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ instead of Pd(P ^t Bu ₃) ₂	24	9
10	5 mol % Pd(P ^t Bu ₃) ₂	65	19
11	1.0 equiv Et ₃ N·HI	68	17
12	2.0 equiv Et ₃ N·HI	69	17
13	Me ₃ N·HI instead of Et ₃ N·HI	37	8
14	Me ₃ N·HI/1,4-dioxane instead of Et ₃ N·HI/PhMe	66	15
15	ⁿ Bu ₃ N·HI instead of Et ₃ N·HI	71	24

^{*a*}Reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^{*c*}Value in parentheses represents the isolated yield. ^{*d*}[Pd] = 10 mol %, phosphine = 20 mol %. 1-Ad = 1-adamantyl. DTBNpP = di(*tert*-butyl)neopentylphosphine.

thylphenyl (1c) led to only slightly improved results (35% yield). Substrates possessing *N*-alkyl groups such as ^tBu and Me (1d and 1e) performed marginally better, and in both cases products were obtained in 54% yield (2d and 2e). Substrates possessing *N*-Ph 1f and *N*-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl groups 1g afforded similar results with respect to the *N*-alkyl analogues, whereas *N*-para-methoxyphenyl 1h led to a moderate improvement (61% yield). In general, substrates possessing electronrich N-substituents undergo the hydroiodination process in higher yield, which is likely a manifestation of their ability to promote a more regioselective hydropalladation. It was

hypothesized that employing substrates containing N–O(alkyl) bonds could further increase the electron richness of the amide functionality, and thus improve the resulting selectivity of the reaction. In this regard, N-OBn 1i and N-OMe 1j were prepared and tested under the reaction conditions. These analogues provided incremental improvements with respect to 1h, and pyridinones 2i and 2j could be obtained in 63% and 68% yields, respectively. In an effort to further increase the electron richness of the substrate, the N-SMe analogue 1k was prepared. However, the products of hydroiodination (2k) or cycloisomerization (3k/4k) were not observed, and instead, the



Figure 2. Reaction scope for the Pd-catalyzed hydroiodination of 1,6-enynes using Et_3N -HI. Reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale. Values represent isolated yields after column chromatography. Superscript a indicates reaction run in PhMe. Superscript b indicates reaction run in 1,4-dioxane. Superscript c indicates isolated yield from the reaction run on a 1.0 g scale in 1,4-dioxane. Superscript d indicates product **2lt** was obtained as a 1.1:1 mixture of atropdiastereomers. TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl, and Boc = *tert*-butoxycarbonyl.

N-SMe bond was cleaved under these conditions leading to enyne **1b** in 64% yield. Furthermore, it was found that the *N*-SMe bond was cleaved even in the absence of the Pd(0) catalyst leading to quantitative formation of **1b**. When analogue **1la** containing an *N*-O^tBu moiety was utilized,³⁴ product **2la** could be obtained in 75% yield along with 18% of cycloisomerized products.

With both the optimal reaction conditions and N-protecting group in hand, we next examined the effect that altering various reaction parameters had on the efficiency of the hydroiodination reaction (Table 1). In the absence of the Pd(0)catalyst, the products of hydroiodination 2la or cycloisomerization (3la and 4la) were not observed, and the starting material was recovered (entry 2). Moreover, the absence of products resulting from direct alkyne hydroiodination speaks to the mild nature of the HI surrogate used herein.²² The use of dioxane as solvent led to results comparable to those obtained using PhMe (entry 3), while decreasing the reaction temperature to 110 or 100 °C led to lower product yields and incomplete conversion of 11a (entries 4 and 5). QPhos has been shown by our group to be an effective ligand for aryliodination reactions involving C(sp³)-I bond-forming reductive elimination from alkyl-Pd-(II)–I species.^{5,7a,b,d,e,g} However, the use of 10 mol % of Pd(QPhos)₂ led to greatly attenuated reactivity, and 2la was obtained in 30% yield (entry 7). Carrow and co-workers have recently shown tri(1-adamantyl)phosphine to be an isosteric, but more electron-donating, analogue to tri(tert-butyl)phosphine.³⁵ Remarkably, 2la could be obtained in 56% yield when this reaction was run using $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (5 mol %) and P(1-Ad)₃ (20 mol %) (entry 8). Furthermore, the reaction efficiency decreased significantly when di(tert-butyl)neopentylphosphine (DTBNpP)³⁶ was used in place of $P(^{t}Bu)_{3}$, and **2la** was only obtained in 24% yield (entry 9). It is plausible that either the ability of DTBNpP to promote the

required $C(sp^3)$ –I bond-forming reductive elimination and/or the stability of the resulting catalyst under the reaction conditions is greatly diminished. Decreasing the loading of $Pd(P^tBu_3)_2$ to 5 mol % renders the hydroiodination less efficient, and incomplete conversion of the starting material is observed (entry 10). Furthermore, changing the loading of Et_3N ·HI to 1.0 or 2.0 equiv led to similarly inferior results (entries 11 and 12).

We wished to determine whether changing the amine component of the hydroiodide salt had any significant effect. When Et_3N ·HI was substituted for Me_3N ·HI, **2la** was obtained in only 37% yield (entry 13). During this experiment, Me_3N ·HI appeared to be much less soluble than Et_3N ·HI. This observation, in combination with the fact that NEt_3 ·HI was found to be more soluble in 1,4-dioxane than in PhMe, led us to examine the reaction utilizing Me_3N ·HI in 1,4-dioxane. Although much of the reactivity was restored under these conditions, Et_3N ·HI still proved to be a superior HI surrogate (entry 14). Interestingly, more soluble "Bu₃N·HI performed nearly as well as Et_3N ·HI, and **2la** could be obtained in 71% yield. The scope of the Pd-catalyzed enyne hydroiodination was examined next (Figure 2).

Our optimization studies revealed that toluene and 1,4dioxane provided nearly identical results, and all substrates were therefore tested in both solvents to obtain the highest product yield. Electron-rich aryl substituents on the alkyne were welltolerated under the reaction conditions, and products containing *para*-Me (2lb), -Ph (2lc), -OMe (2ld), and -OTBS (2le) groups could be obtained in 56%–81% yield. Substrates with electron-withdrawing *para*-Cl (1lf), -CF₃ (1lg), and -CN (1lh) groups were also suitable, affording the corresponding products in 69%–80% yield. The reaction conditions were tolerant of both ketone and ester functionalities, and products 2li and 2lj were obtained in 61% and 69%

Journal of the American Chemical Society

yield, respectively. Similar efficiencies were observed when substrates containing various meta-substituents were tested (1lk-1lr). Electron-donating meta-Me (1lk) and -OMe (1ll) groups were tolerated, leading to the products 2lk and 2ll in 69% and 72% yield, respectively. Products containing phenol, aldehyde, and nitrile groups at the *meta*-position $(2\ln - 2lp)$ could be obtained in 52%, 62%, and 76% yield, respectively. Substrates possessing a 3,5-difluorophenyl (11g) or a 3,5di(trifluoromethyl)phenyl (1lr) group could undergo the desired hydroiodination reaction, leading to the corresponding fluorinated pyridinones 2lq and 2lr in 67% and 70% yield, respectively. Substrates containing various ortho substituents (11s-11u) could also undergo hydroiodination to generate products 2ls-2lt in 40-66% yield. Notably, the conditions were also compatible with a series of heteroaromatic groups. Substrates containing a 3-pyridyl (1lv) or a 2-thienyl (1lw) group underwent the desired transformation in 78% and 76% vield, respectively. Envne 1lx containing a 5-N-Boc indolyl group could be transformed to the corresponding product 2lx in 48% yield. To highlight the scalability of this transformation, a gram scale reaction was conducted using 11a where pyridinone 2la could be obtained in 66% isolated yield. Substitution on the alkene which deviates from Me resulted in a less efficient reaction, and the corresponding Ph and Et analogues were isolated in only 6% and 36% yield, respectively. The major byproducts observed in these cases were those of alkene isomerization (vide infra).

In 2012, Fu reported a room-temperature dehydrohalogenation of alkyl bromides catalyzed by $Pd[P(^{t}Bu)_{2}Me]_{2}$ (Scheme 2a).³⁷ The mechanism of this transformation involves S_N 2-type oxidative addition of $L_2Pd(0)$ to an alkyl bromide leading to alkyl-Pd(II)-Br species D which contains two phosphine ligands. Loss of a single phosphine affords 14-electron species E, which undergoes β -hydride elimination to generate H-Pd(II)-Br species F. Alkene dissociation presumably affords H-Pd(II)-Br species G which is converted to $L_2Pd(0)$ in the presence of Cy2NH and phosphine. We envisioned that this mechanistic scenario could be applied as the basis for a transfer hydrohalogenation reaction where alkyl halides act as HX surrogates to generate H-Pd(II)-X(H) in situ via an analogous release mechanism (Scheme 2b).38 The preliminary results obtained for this process are displayed in Scheme 2c. After screening various reaction parameters, the optimal conditions were identified to be envne (1 equiv), $Pd(P^tBu_3)_2$ (10 mol %), and 1-iodobutane (2.0 equiv) in PhMe (0.05 M) at 120 °C for 16 h. Enynes possessing various N-protecting groups were tested under these conditions. N-Ts (1a) and N-Me (1e) enynes could be converted to pyridinones 2a and 2e in 41% and 48% yield, respectively. N-Aryl substrates 1f and 1h were converted to the corresponding products 2f and 2h in 54% and 60% yield, respectively. Substrate 11a possessing an N-O^tBu moiety was also converted into pyridinone 2la in 53% yield. In all cases, the cycloisomerization products 3 and 4 were observed as the major byproducts.

Although this variation of the protocol is still less efficient than when Et_3N ·HI is used, it represents the first example of a transfer hydroiodination to the best of our knowledge.

Enyne **1e** was subjected to the transfer hydroiodination reaction conditions using 1-iodobutane- d_9 in place of 1-iodobutane (Scheme 2d). Full consumption of **1e** was observed via proton NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 16 h at 120 °C, and **2e**- d_n and **4e**- d_n were measured in 48% and 25% yield, respectively. The direct cycloisomerization product

Scheme 2. (a) Catalytic Cycle for the Pd(0)-Catalyzed Dehydrohalogenation by Fu and Co-Workers,³⁷ (b) Strategy for Transfer Hydroiodination of 1,6-Enynes, (c) Reaction Scope for the Pd-Catalyzed Transfer Hydroiodination of 1,6-Enynes Using 1-Iodobutane as a HI Surrogate,^{*a*} and (d) Transfer Hydroiodination Reaction of 1e Using 1-Iodobutane- d_9 as a DI Surrogate



^{*a*}Reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale. Yields obtained by ¹H NMR. pTol = para-tolyl. Values in parentheses represent yield of 4.

(i.e., 3e) was not observed under the reaction conditions. NMR analysis of purified $2e - d_n$ indicated that deuterium had been incorporated at three positions in the product to various extents. Deuteration was observed on the CH_3 group (16% D) as well as the methylene carbon which is bonded to iodine (26% D). On the basis of the general mechanism proposed in Scheme 1e, observation of this specific deuteration pattern should not be expected. However, it is reasoned that species Hd can catalyze isopropene isomerization by way of an iterative alkene insertion/elimination mechanism that results in substrate H/D scrambling. When this is followed by the desired deutero/hydroiodination reaction, the partially deuterated isopropene moiety is incorporated into the heterocyclic scaffold resulting in deuteration at positions other than α - $C(sp^2)$. Furthermore, only partial deuteration (43% D) was observed at α -C(sp²) in the product which must arise from Scheme 3. Derivatization Studies Concerning Pyridinone Product 21a^a



^aTBTA = tris(benzyltriazolemethyl)amine, Bz = benzoyl. Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene.

Scheme 4. Proposed Catalytic Cycle for the Formation of the Hydroiodination Product 2e and the Cycloisomerization Byproducts 3e and 4e with $L = P^t B u_3$



both palladium hydrides (generated via the aforementioned H/ D scrambling or via formation of byproduct 4) and palladium deuterides (generated from iodobutane- d_9) undergoing the desired hydro/deuterohalogenation reaction. It should also be noted that no substantial difference in the amount of incorporated deuterium was observed when PhMe- d_8 was used as solvent in place of PhMe, which suggests that solvent is not a factor in obtaining partial deuteration. Byproduct $4e-d_n$ was observed to have deuterium incorporated at the same positions, albeit to a different extent (*vide infra*).

To exhibit the versatility of the pyridinone products, various synthetic manipulations were carried out using product **2la** (Scheme 3). The *tert*-butyl group could be readily cleaved using TfOH in dioxane to unmask hydroxamic acid **5** in 87% yield. Azide **6** could be obtained in 92% yield via a nucleophilic substitution of the neopentyl $C(sp^3)$ –I bond using NaN₃ in DMF. A Cu-catalyzed Huisgen [3 + 2] cycloaddition between azide **6** and propargylated uracil derivative 7 provided triazole **8**

in 92% yield. A Pd-catalyzed reduction of the $C(sp^3)$ –I bond in **2la** could be employed to generate **9** containing a γ -geminal dimethyl motif in 79% yield.⁶ Cyclopropane **10** bearing a [4.1.0] bicyclic scaffold could be generated in 78% yield via an intramolecular conjugate cyclization using Zn powder in AcOH.

MECHANISTIC AND COMPUTATIONAL STUDIES

A plausible mechanism for the reaction is presented in Scheme 4. The reaction between PdL_2 (L = P^tBu_3) and Et_3N ·HI generates the active PdL_2 HI species that upon coordination to substrate **1e** produces intermediate **I**. Insertion of the alkyne moiety into the Pd–H bond can follow two different pathways. In path A, hydropalladation placing the Pd atom on the β carbon leads to intermediate **II**. A key *E*-to-*Z* isomerization of this vinyl–Pd(II) intermediate affords **III** which after alkene coordination and 6-*exo*-trig carbopalladation gives intermediate



Figure 3. Computed potential energy profile for the insertion of the alkyne moiety into the Pd–H bond (L = P'Bu₃). Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) refer to zero-point corrected energies quoted relative to I with L = P'Bu₃ at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL// BP86/6-31G(d,p)/LANL2DZ level of theory. Gibbs free energies are presented in parentheses.



Figure 4. (a) Optimized structure of the transition state for the *E*-to-*Z* isomerization process and selected geometrical parameters (H atoms are omitted for clarity with exception of the vinyl proton). (b) Control experiments to support the *E*-to-*Z* vinyl–Pd(II) isomerization step.

IV. Final $C(sp^3)$ -I bond-forming reductive elimination furnishes the desired product **2e** and regenerates PdL₂. In competing path B, a reversal in the regioselectivity of the hydropalladation step places the Pd atom on the α -carbon. The resulting intermediate **V** undergoes a *S*-*exo*-trig carbopalladation which leads to **VI.** In analogy to **IV**, neopentyl-Pd intermediate VI could undergo C–I bond-forming reductive elimination to provide alkyl iodide product X. However, the formation of this product was not observed during our investigations. Instead, ring closure occurs to generate cyclopropylcarbinyl species VII, which undergoes C–C σ -bond rotation and ring expansion via β -carbon elimination to produce VIII.^{39,40} Regioselective β -hydride elimination provides conjugated diene byproduct **3e** and regenerates the active PdL₂HI catalyst.

A combined experimental and theoretical approach was taken to better understand the mechanism of the reaction. The proposed hydropalladations via paths A and B, leading to desired product **2e** and byproducts **3e** and **4e**, respectively, were studied computationally in detail at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL//BP86/6-31G-(d,p)/LANL2DZ level of theory.⁴¹ In order to support our proposal for the initial formation of a HPdL₂I species, a homogeneous toluene- d_8 solution of a 1:1 mixture of Pd(P'Bu₃)₂ and Bu₃N·HI was analyzed by ¹H NMR after 15 min. During this experiment, a triplet pattern consistent with a PdL₂HI species was observed at -14.23 ppm (see the **Supporting Information** for details). The activation energy for the two possible regioselective alkyne insertions of I can be correlated to the experimental ratio of **2e:**(**3e** + **4e**) (Figure 3).

The two corresponding transition states model a rotation of the H–Pd–I fragment to place the H–Pd bond parallel to the C=C bond.⁴² The computed activation energy for the insertion leading to intermediate II is slightly lower than that required to form intermediate V ($\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 2.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ vs 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹). Furthermore, the formation of II is 4.9 kcal mol⁻¹ more favorable than the formation of V. These results suggest that insertion leading to β -vinyl–Pd(II) species II in path A is kinetically and thermodynamically favored over the insertion leading to α -vinyl–Pd(II) species V in path B. This result is in agreement with the 1.4:1 ratio measured experimentally for the reaction of 1e in favor of the formation of 2e (path A).

The isomerization of the vinyl-Pd moiety in intermediate II from the E to the Z configuration is a prerequisite for the subsequent carbopalladation step. There have been several reports describing the isomerization of vinyl-Pd(II);^{7h,i,10,43} however, it is rare that this process occurs as a productive catalytic step. In 2015, Werz and co-workers described an interesting formal anti-arylpalladation of alkynes as a key step in a Pd(0)-catalyzed domino cyclization.⁴⁴ More recently, Lam and co-workers described a formal anti-alkyne arylnickelation that was proposed to occur by way of an isomerizing vinyl-Ni(II) intermediate.⁴⁵ To the best of our knowledge, our process represents the first anti-hydropalladation of alkynes as a productive catalytic step. Isomerization of the *E* conformer II to the slightly more stable Z conformer III requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 16.9$ kcal mol⁻¹, and the corresponding transition state TS II III models the rotation around the C=C bond placing the C_{β} -Pd bond almost perpendicular to the carbonyl— C_{α} bond (Figure 4a). The C_{α} — C_{β} distance in the transition state (1.39) Å) is slightly longer than the same distance in the two intermediates II and III (1.35 and 1.36 Å, respectively). Similarly, the C_{β} —Pd distance in TS_II_III (1.91 Å) is slightly shorter than in ${\rm II}$ and ${\rm III}$ (2.01 and 1.98 Å, respectively). In order to experimentally support this process, compounds (E)-9 and (Z)-9 were synthesized and subjected to the standard reaction conditions in the absence of the HI surrogate (Figure 4b). Both isomers provided the corresponding product 2la in 82% and 8% NMR yield, respectively, which supports the

Journal of the American Chemical Society



Figure 5. Computed potential energy profile for path A ($L = P^{t}Bu_{3}$). Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) refer to zero-point corrected energies quoted relative to I with $L = P^{t}Bu_{3}$ at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL//BP86/6-31G(d,p)/LANL2DZ level of theory. Gibbs free energies are presented in parentheses.



Figure 6. Computed potential energy profile for path B ($L = P^{f}Bu_{3}$). Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) refer to zero-point corrected energies quoted relative to I with $L = P^{f}Bu_{3}$ at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL//BP86/6-31G(d,p)/LANL2DZ level of theory. Gibbs free energies are presented in parentheses.

feasibility of this seemingly compulsory isomerization step. The marked difference in reactivity of the two isomers is attributed to the bulky palladium catalyst having different oxidative addition aptitudes for the two vinyl iodide isomers. Furthermore, the lack of formation of **3la** or **4la** byproducts suggests that the reaction is unidirectional which is further corroborated by the high energy required to produce I from intermediate II by β -hydride elimination ($\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 24.7$ kcal mol⁻¹).

The computed potential energy surface for path A is presented in Figure 5.⁴¹ Coordination of the tethered alkene to the palladium atom provides the higher energy intermediate

III' ($\Delta E = +16.9$ kcal mol). Subsequent intramolecular alkene insertion generates neopentyl–Pd intermediate IV. This step requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 7.4$ kcal mol⁻¹, and the corresponding transition state TS_III'_IV models a four-centered concerted mechanism. The carbopalladation process from III to IV requires an overall activation energy of $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 24.3$ kcal mol⁻¹, rendering this process the rate-determining step. Carbon–iodine bondforming reductive elimination provides intermediate 2e_Pd where the Pd atom is coordinated to the product via the halogen atom. The process requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 18.1$ kcal mol⁻¹ and proceeds via TS_IV_3e. Final dissociative ligand replacement



Figure 7. Computed potential energy profile for the C–I bond-forming reductive elimination from intermediate VI (L = P^tBu₃). Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) refer to zero-point corrected energies quoted relative to I with L = P^tBu₃ at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL//BP86/6-31G(d,p)/LANL2DZ level of theory. Gibbs free energies are presented in parentheses.

furnishes the desired product 2e and regenerates the PdL_2 catalyst. 46

We next studied the mechanism for the formation of byproducts 3e and 4e via path B (Figure 6).⁴¹ After generation of intermediate V, coordination of the tethered alkene and subsequent carbopalladation (overall $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 12.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) produces five-membered intermediate VI. The structure of VI allows a successive intramolecular carbopalladation to produce the cyclopropane-containing intermediate VII via a fourcentered concerted mechanism. This process only requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 3.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ and is modeled by **TS VI VII**. Facile C-C bond rotation in VII provides intermediate VII' ($\Delta E = +5.8$ kcal mol^{-1}) via a stepwise mechanism.⁴¹ The spatial arrangement in VII' allows β -carbon elimination to take place. The overall process requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 34.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ and generates the six-membered intermediate VIII (not shown; see the Supporting Information). Stabilization of this intermediate can occur by two separate agostic interactions with the hydrogen atoms attached to the two β -methylene carbons providing structures VIII' and VIII". β-Hydride elimination can occur from both isomers to generate the corresponding complexes 3e' Pd and 3e Pd ($\Delta E = -3.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). Of note, diene 3e was the only isomer detected experimentally, and the configuration of the exo-double bond was confirmed by NMR spectroscopic analysis after isolation. Final isomerization provides byproduct 4e.

By analogy to IV, we computed the potential energy profile for the C–I bond-forming reductive elimination from the neopentyl–Pd intermediate VI (Figure 7). This process requires $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 20.1$ kcal mol⁻¹, and the corresponding transition state TS_VI_X models a three-centered mechanism and an incipient interaction between the Pd atom and the *exo*double bond. Dissociation of the metal atom from the resulting intermediate X Pd releases the final product X. Comparison of Scheme 5. Theoretical and Experimental Studies Involving the Analogous Catalytic Hydrobromination and Hydrochlorination Processes: (a) Theoretical Comparison between the Carbon–Iodine, Carbon–Bromine, and Carbon–Chlorine Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Pd(II) [Energies (kcal mol⁻¹) Refer to Zero-Point Corrected Energies at the IEFPCM (Toluene) M06L/6-311G(d,p)/SDDALL//BP86/6-31G(d,p)/LANL2DZ Level of Theory with Gibbs Free Energies Presented in Parentheses] and (b) Substrate Scope for the Pd-Catalyzed Hydrobromination and Hydrochlorination using Et₃N·HBr and Et₃N·HCl, Respectively (Reactions on a 0.2 mmol Scale and Values Represent Isolated Yields after Column Chromatography^{*a*,b}



^aReaction was run using PhMe as solvent. ^bReaction was run using 1,4-dioxane as solvent.

the activation energies required for the VI \rightarrow VII ($\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 3.4$ kcal mol⁻¹) and VI \rightarrow X_Pd ($\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 20.1$ kcal mol⁻¹) processes and the stability of the corresponding products VII (E = -46.1 kcal mol⁻¹) and X_Pd (E = -26.8 kcal mol⁻¹) indicates that C-I bond-forming reductive elimination is strongly disfavored with respect to the intramolecular cyclopropanation to form VII. This conclusion supports the experimental evidence where product X was never detected in the reaction mixture.

We next computed the activation energies for the carbonhalogen bond-forming reductive elimination step for bromine and chlorine (Scheme 5a). The activation energies required for the formation of the analogous C-Br and the C-Cl bonds are $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 19.8$ and 20.8 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. These values are higher, yet comparable to the activation energy for the C-I case ($\Delta E^{\ddagger} = 18.0$ kcal mol⁻¹). This observation prompted us to evaluate the reactivity of the corresponding commercially available Et₃N·HBr and HCl salts in the reaction. The reaction was successful with both reagents and provided the corresponding products 2la-Br and 2la-Cl in 67% and 35% yield, respectively (Scheme 5b). This reactivity trend is in good agreement with the computed activation energies. This represents an exceedingly rare example of a catalytic reaction involving $C(sp^3)$ -X bond-forming (X = Br or Cl) reductive elimination from an alkyl-Pd(II)-X species.⁴⁵ The generality of the transformation using Et₃N·HBr was evaluated using a number of substrates. The reaction of those containing electron-rich and electron-poor aromatic groups provided the corresponding bromine-containing products 2ld-Br, 2lh-Br, and 2lq-Br in moderate to good yields. Pyridine-containing 2lv-Br could also be obtained in 62% yield.

CONCLUSIONS

We have discovered a conceptually and mechanistically novel Pd-catalyzed hydrohalogenation of enynes that affords access to synthetically useful halogenated pyridinones. This process relies on highly practical and crystalline ammonium halides (Et₃N· HX) which operate as surrogates to conventional toxic and corrosive hydrogen halide sources. The use of a strategically placed O'Bu nitrogen protecting group was instrumental in obtaining high selectivity for the desired products containing both a $C(sp^3)$ -halogen motif and an all-carbon quaternary center at the γ -position to the carbonyl. These simple catalytic conditions also enable C(sp³)-Br and -Cl bond-forming reductive elimination from a Pd(II) species. Therefore, by simply interchanging Et₃N·HX sources, iodinated, brominated, and chlorinated products can be obtained using nonhalogenated substrates. A combination of experiment and theory has provided insight into the reaction mechanism that involves a formal anti alkyne hydropalladation step resulting from a crucial E-to-Z vinyl-Pd(II) isomerization. The extension of this concept to the first example of transfer hydroiodination was also realized, whereby a domino Pdcatalyzed release and react strategy enables the use of 1iodobutane as a nonionic HI surrogate. We believe that this report will prompt future applications of this class of reagents in other metal-catalyzed processes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b00482.

Experimental details of synthetic procedures, X-ray data, and computational details (PDF) Crystallographic data for 2d (CIF) Crystallographic data for 4d (CIF) Crystallographic data for 2la and 10 (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*amalia.pobladorbahamonde@unige.ch *mlautens@chem.utoronto.ca

ORCID 0

David A. Petrone: 0000-0001-9867-9178

Ivan Franzoni: 0000-0001-8110-6218

Author Contributions

[§]These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), the University of Toronto (U of T), the University of Geneva (U of G), and Alphora Research Inc. M.L. (O.C.) thanks the Canada Council for the Arts for a Killam Fellowship. D.A.P. thanks NSERC for a postgraduate scholarship (CGS-D). Dr. Alan Lough (U of T) is acknowledged for X-ray analysis. Prof. Andrei Yudin is thanked for HPLC and Biotage access. Prof. Mark Taylor is thanked for glovebox access and discussions. Dr. Rodrigo Mendoza Sánchez (U of T) and Sherif Kaldas (U of T) are acknowledged for their assistance with reverse phase purification. Prof. Robert H. Morris (U of T) and Dr. Charles C. J. Loh (Technical University of Dortmund) are thanked for discussions. We also thank Carmine Chiancone (U of G) for technical support and Dr. Yu-Gang Shi (Zhejiang Gongshang University) for preparing starting materials for preliminary investigations.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Sasson, Y. Formation of Carbon-Halogen Bonds (Cl, Br, I). In Halides, Pseudo-Halides and Azides; Patai, P., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1995. (b) Vigalok, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5102-5108. (c) Brown, J. M.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8610-8614. (d) Muñiz, K. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 31, 1-18. (e) Vigalok, A.; Kaspi, A. W. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 31, 19-38. (f) Furuya, T.; Klein, J. E. M. N.; Ritter, T. Synthesis 2010, 11, 1804-1821. (g) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147-1169. (gg) Racowski, J. M.; Sanford, M. S. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 35, 61-84. (h) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wang, X.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1478-1491. (i) Racowski, J. M.; Sanford, M. S. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 35, 61-84. (j) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470-477. (k) Jiang, X.; Liu, H.; Gu, Z. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1, 16-24. (1) Hollingworth, C.; Grouverneur, V. Strategies Towards Challenging Fluorination Reactions. In C-H and C-X Bond Functionalization: Transition Metal Mediation; Ribas, X., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2013. (m) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214-8264. (n) Chen, C.; Tong, X. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 439-446. (o) Campbell, M. G.; Ritter, T. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 612-633. (p) Petrone, D. A.; Ye, J.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 8003-8104.

(2) Newman, S. G.; Lautens, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11416–11417.

(3) Roy, A. H.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1232–1233.

(4) Roy, A. H.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13944–13945.

(5) Newman, S. G.; Lautens, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1778–1780.

(6) Liu, H.; Li, C.; Qiu, D.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6187-6193.

(7) (a) Newman, S. G.; Howell, J. K.; Nicolaus, N.; Lautens, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14916–14919. (b) Jia, X.; Petrone, D. A.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9870–9872. (c) Petrone, D. A.; Malik, H. A.; Clemenceau, A.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4806–4809. (d) Petrone, D. A.; Lischka, M.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10635–10638. (e) Petrone, D. A.; Yoon, H.; Weinstabl, H.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7908–7912. (f) Chen, C.; Hu, J.; Su, J.; Tong, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, S5,

3229–3231. (g) Petrone, D. A.; Le, C. M.; Newman, S. G.; Lautens, M. Pd(0)-Catalyzed Carboiodination: Early Developments and Recent Advancements. In *New Trends in Cross-Coupling: Theory and Applications;* Colacot, T. J., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2015. (h) Le, C. M.; Menzies, P.; Petrone, D. A.; Lautens, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 254–257. (i) Le, C. M.; Hou, X.; Sperger, T.; Schoenebeck, F.; Lautens, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 15897–15900.

(8) Grigg, R. D.; Schomaker, J. M. Synlett 2013, 24, 401-407.

(9) (a) Trost, B. M. Science 1991, 254, 1471–1477. (b) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 259–281.

(10) Bäckvall, J. E. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH, 2004; Vol. 1, pp 479–530.

(11) Various transition-metal-catalyzed cyclohalogenations have been reported using halogenated 1,6-enynes, see: (a) Ma, S.; Lu, X. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 733-734. (b) Ma, S.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5120-5125. (c) Ma, S.; Zhu, G.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3692-3696. (d) Ma, S.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1245-1250. (e) Ma, S.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1245-1250. (f) Tong, X.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6370-6371. (g) Jackowski, O.; Wang, J.; Xie, X.; Ayad, T.; Zhang, Z.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4006-4009. (h) Zhu, G.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3339-3341. (i) Hoye, T.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6950-6951 and references therein.

(12) Aubert, C.; Buisine, O.; Malacria, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 813-834.

(13) Marco-Martínez, J.; López-Carrillo; Buñuel, E.; Simancas, R.; Cárdenas, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 1874–1875.

(14) (a) Ojima, I.; Donovan, R. J.; Shay, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1992, 114, 6580-6582. (b) Molander, G. A.; Retsch, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8817-8825. (c) Muraoka, T.; Matsuda, I.; Itoh, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7325-7328. (d) Ojima, I.; Vu, A. T.; Lee, S.-Y.; McCullagh, J. V.; Moralee, A. C.; Fujiwara, M.; Hoang, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9164-9174. (e) Chakrapani, H.; Liu, C.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 157-159. (f) Takachi, M.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5132-5134. (g) Xi, T.; Lu, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 8858-8866.

(15) Lautens, M.; Mancuso, J. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 671-673.

(16) Peng, H.; Liu, G. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 772–775. This transformation was proposed by the authors to involve an initial alkyne fluoropalladation.

(17) (a) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genêt, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4268–4315. (b) Toullec, P. Y.; Chao, C.-M.; Chen, Q.; Gladiali, S.; Genêt, J.-P.; Michelet, V. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2401–2408. (c) Amijs, C. H. M.; López-Carrillo, V.; Raducan, M.; Pérez-Galán, P.; Ferrer, C.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7721–7730. (d) Chao, C.-M.; Vitale, M. R.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genêt, J.-P.; Michelet, V. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1319–1323. (e) Dang, M.; Hou, L.; Tong, X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 7734–7738.

(18) Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2011-2034.

(19) Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysis—New Perspectives for the 21st Century; John Wiley & Sons, 2004; pp 565–612.

(20) (a) Klein, H.; Roisnel, T.; Bruneau, C.; Dérien, S. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11032–11034. (b) Dérien, S.; Klein, H.; Bruneau, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12112–12115. (c) Podhajsky, S. M.; Sigman, M. S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5680–5686. (d) Zhu, G.; Chen, D.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5796–5798.
(e) Uehling, M. R.; Rucker, R. P.; Lalic, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8799–8803.

(21) Hagen, J. Industrial Catalysis—A Practical Approach; Wiley-VCH, 2006.

(22) (a) Hoffman, C. J.; Heintz, E. A. Inorg. Synth. 1963, 7, 180–182.
(b) Cousseau, J.; Gouin, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 15, 2889–2892.
(c) Cousseau, J.; Gouin, L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 1797–1801. (d) Cousseau, J. Synthesis 1980, 33, 805–806. (e) Barluenga, J.; González, J. M.; Campos, P. J.; Asensio, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 319–320. (f) Stewart, L. J.; Gray, D.; Pagni, R. M.; Kabalka, G. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 4497–4498. (g) Pagni, R. M.;

Kabalka, G. W.; Boothe, R.; Gaetano, K.; Stewart, L. J.; Conaway, R.; Dial, C.; Gray, D.; Larson, S.; Luidhardt, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4477-4482. (h) Irifune, S.; Kibayashi, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M. Synthesis 1988, 1988, 366-369. (i) Kanai, T.; Irifune, S.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M. Synthesis 1989, 1989, 283-286. (j) Reddy, C. K.; Periasamy, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5663-5664. (k) Kamiya, N.; Chikami, Y.; Ishii, Y. Synlett 1990, 1990, 675-676. (1) Kanai, T.; Kanagawa, Y.; Ishii, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3274-3277. (m) Reddy, C. K.; Periasamy, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1919-1920. (n) Ma, S.; Lu, X. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1643-1644. (o) Ma, S.; Lu, X.; Li, Z. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 709-713. (p) Kropp, P. J.; Crawford, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3102-3112. (q) Cavelier, F.; Enjalbal, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 5131-5134. (r) Bois-Choussy, M.; Neuville, L.; Beugelmans, R.; Zhu, J. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9309-9322. (s) Broomfield, C. E. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1997, 1, 88-89. (t) Nudelman, A.; Bechor, Y.; Falb, E.; Fischer, B.; Wexler, B. A.; Nudelman, A. Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 471-474. (u) Yu, W.; Jin, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9840-9841. (v) Chervin, S. M.; Abada, P.; Koreeda, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 369-372. (w) Yu, W.; Jin, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3369-3370. (x) Ma, S.; Li, L. Synlett 2001, 2001, 1206-1213. (y) Yu, W.; Jin, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6576-6583. (z) Su, M.; Kang, Y.; Yu, W.; Hua, Z.; Jin, Z. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 691-694. (aa) Mulder, J. A.; Kurtz, K. C. M.; Hsung, R. P.; Coverdale, H.; Frederick, M. O.; Shen, L.; Zificsak, C. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1547–1550. (ab) Xu, W.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; Salazar, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3535-3538. (ac) Kawaguchi, S.-i.; Ogawa, A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1893-1895. (ad) Zhu, G.; Chen, D.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5796-5798.

(23) (a) Zhu, G.; Chen, D.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, R. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 5796–5798. (b) Wang, Z.; Lu, X. *Chem. Commun.* 1996, 535–536. (c) Wang, Z.; Lu, X. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996, 61, 2254–2255. (d) Wen, Y.; Huang, L.; Jiang, H.; Chen, H. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 2029–2034. (e) Chen, D.; Cao, Y.; Yuan, Z.; Cai, H.; Zheng, R.; Kong, L.; Zhu, G. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 76, 4071–4074. (ee) Wang, Z.; Lu, X.; Lei, A.; Zhang, Z. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 63, 3806–3807.

(24) Bhawal, B. N.; Morandi, B. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 7528–7535. (25) (a) Brieger, G.; Nestrick, T. J. Chem. Rev. 1974, 74, 567–580.

(b) Wang, D.; Astruc, D. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 6621-6686.

(26) (a) Wu, L.; Liu, Q.; Jackstell, R.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6310-6320. (b) Morimoto, T.; Fuji, K.; Tsutsumi, K.; Kakiuchi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3806-3807. (c) Shibata, T.; Toshida, N.; Takagi, K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7446-7450. (d) Mura, M. G.; De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 3180-3186. (e) Ueda, T.; Konishi, H.; Manabe, K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5370-5373. (f) Kim, D.-S.; Park, W.-J.; Lee, C.-H.; Jun, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 12191-12196. (g) Ueda, T.; Konishi, H.; Manabe, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8611-8615. (h) Gehrtz, P. H.; Hirschbeck, V.; Fleischer, I. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 12574-12577.

(27) Skrydstrup and co-workers have developed reagents for the controlled stoichiometric *ex situ* generation of carbon monoxide, see:
(a) Hermange, P.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Taaning, R. H.; Bjerglund, K.; Lupp, D.; Skrydstrup, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 6061–6071.
(b) Friis, S. D.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2016, 49, 594–605.

(28) Murphy, S. K.; Park, J.-W.; Cruz, F. A.; Dong, V. M. Science 2015, 347, 56-60.

(29) (a) Fang, X.; Yu, P.; Morandi, B. Science 2016, 351, 832–836.
(b) Fang, X.; Yu, P.; Cerai, G. P.; Morandi, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 15629–15633.

(30) (a) Akana, J. A.; Bhattacharyya, K. X.; Müller, P.; Sadighi, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7736–7737. (b) Gorske, B. C.; Mbofana, C. T.; Miller, S. J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4318–4321. (c) Gauthier, D.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Olsen, E. P. K.; Overgaard, J.; Skrydstrup, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7998–8009. (d) Zhu, J.; Tsui, G. C.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12353–12356. (e) Hu, Y.; Shen, Z.; Huang, H. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6785–6789.

(31) (a) Heck, R. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 146-151.
(b) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3009-

3066. (c) Hills, I. D.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13178-13179.

(32) Armbruster, R. W.; Morgan, M. M.; Schmidt, J. L.; Lau, C. M.; Riley, R. M.; Zabrowsky, D. L.; Dieck, H. A. Organometallics **1986**, *5*, 234–237.

(33) Chen, C.; Hou, L.; Cheng, M.; Su, J.; Tong, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3092–3096.

(34) Miyabe, H.; Asada, R.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3519-3530.

(35) Chen, L.; Ren, P.; Carrow, B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6392-6395.

(36) Hill, L. L.; Moore, L. R.; Huang, R.; Craciun, R.; Vincent, A. J.; Dixon, D. A.; Chou, J.; Woltermann, C. J.; Shaughnessy, K. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 5117–5125.

(37) Bissember, A. C.; Levina, A.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14232-14237.

(38) For a recent example using alkyl bromides as a mild hydride source in Ni-catalyzed hydroamidation, see: Wang, X.; Nakajima, M.; Serrano, E.; Martin, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 15531–15534.

(39) For a review on cyclic carbopalladation, see: Negishi, E.-i.; Copéret, C.; Ma, S.; Liou, S.-Y.; Liu, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 365–394.

(40) For reports concerning apparent 6-endo cyclizations in Pd catalysis, see: (a) Owczarczyk, Z.; Lamaty, F.; Vawter, E. J.; Negishi, E.-i. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 10091–10092. (b) Mikami, K.; Hatano, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **2004**, 101, 5767–5769. (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Kuwabara, S.; Ando, Y.; Nagata, H.; Nishiyama, H.; Itoh, K. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 69, 6697–6705.

(41) For the detailed potential energy profile, see the Supporting Information.

(42) Henriksen, S. T.; Tanner, D.; Skrydstrup, T.; Norrby, P.-O. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9494–9501.

(43) (a) Henry, P. M. Acc. Chem. Res. **1973**, 6, 16–24. (b) Zargarian, D.; Alper, H. Organometallics **1991**, 10, 2914–2921. (c) Dyker, G.; Kellner, A. Tetrahedron Lett. **1994**, 35, 7633–7636. (d) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Veltri, L.; Costa, M.; Massera, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 2001, 4607–4614. (e) Amatore, C.; Bensalem, S.; Ghalem, S.; Jutand, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **2004**, 689, 4642–4646. (f) Kamijo, S.; Sasaki, Y.; Kanazawa, C.; Schüßeler, T.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, 44, 7718–7721. (g) Chernyak, N.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 2342–2345. (h) Krasovskiy, A.; Lipshutz, B. H. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 3818–3821. (i) Lu, G.-P.; Voigtritter, K. R.; Cai, C.; Lipshutz, B. H. Chem. Commun. **2012**, 48, 8661–8663. (j) Lu, G.-P.; Voigtritter, K. R.; Cai, C.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, 77, 3700–3703. (k) Aziz, J.; Frison, G.; Le Menez, P.; Brion, J.-D.; Hamze, A.; Alami, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2013**, 355, 3425–3436.

(44) (a) Pawliczek, M.; Schneider, T. F.; Maaß, C.; Stalke, D.; Werz, D. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 4119–4123. (b) Pawliczek, M.; Milde, B.; Jones, P. G.; Werz, D. B. Chem. - Eur. J. **2015**, 21, 12303–12307.

(45) Clarke, C.; Incerti-Pradillos, C. A.; Lam, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8068–8071.

(46) Lan, Y.; Liu, P.; Newman, S. G.; Lautens, M.; Houk, K. N. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1987–1995.

Article